Texas Education Agency 2015-16 School Report Card NOTTINGHAM EL (101920121)

District Name: SPRING BRANCH ISD


Campus Type: Elementary

Total Students: 472

Grade Span: PK - 05

2016 Performance Index

State accountability ratings are based on four performance indices: Student Achievement, Student Progress, Closing Performance Gaps, and Postsecondary Readiness. The bar graph below illustrates the index scores for this campus. The score required to meet each index's target is indicated below the index description and as a line on each bar. In 2016, to receive the Met Standard or Met Alternative Standard accountability rating, districts and campuses must meet targets on three indices: Index 1 or Index 2 and Index 3 and Index 4.



2016 Accountability Rating

Met Standard

For 2016 state accountability, campuses are rated as **Met Standard**, **Improvement Required**, or **Not Rated**. The rating, **Met Alternative Standard**, is assigned to charters and alternative education campuses evaluated under alternative education accountability (AEA) provisions.

Distinction Designations					
ELA/Reading	Top 25% Student Progress				

Campuses that receive a rating of **Met Standard** are eligible for as many as seven distinction designations: **Academic Achievement in English Language Arts** (ELA)/Reading, Academic Achievement in **Mathematics**, Academic Achievement in Science, Academic Achievement in Social Studies, Top 25%: Student Progress, Top 25%: Closing Performance Gaps, and Postsecondary Readiness.

School and Student Information

This section provides demographic information about the campus, including attendance rates; enrollment percentages for various student groups; student mobility rates; and class size averages at the campus, district, and state level, where applicable.

	Campus	District	State		Campus	District	State
Attendance Rate (2014-15)	96.4%	95.9%	95.7%	95.7% Class Size Averages by Grade or Su		ıbject	
				Elementary			
Enrollment by Race/Ethnicity				Kindergarten	19.6	19.1	18.9
African American	21.8%	4.7%	12.6%	Grade 1	21.2	20.0	19.1
Hispanic	19.3%	58.3%	52.2%	Grade 2	22.7	19.7	19.1
White	47.2%	28.0%	28.5%	Grade 3	24.0	19.5	19.1
American Indian	0.4%	0.9%	0.4%	Grade 4	26.0	19.7	19.0
Asian	8.1%	6.4%	4.0%	Grade 5	24.0	21.8	20.8
Pacific Islander	0.0%	0.1%	0.1%				
Two or More Races	3.2%	1.6%	2.1%				
Enrollment by Student Group							
Economically Disadvantaged	35.2%	55.8%	59.0%				
English Language Learners	19.1%	34.6%	18.5%				
Special Education	7.2%	7.3%	8.6%				
Mobility Rate (2014-15)	20.2%	12.9%	16.5%				

School Financial Information (2014-15)

Various financial indicators are reported for the campus, district, and state, where applicable, based on actual data from the prior year. For more information, see http://tea.texas.gov/financialstandardreports/.

	Campus	District	State		Campus	District	State
Instructional Staff Percent	n/a	67.8%	64.5%	Expenditures per Student			
Instructional Expenditure Ratio	n/a	65.5%	63.8%	Total Operating Expenditures	\$8,047	\$9,028	\$9,065
				Instruction	\$5,572	\$5,191	\$5,158
				Instructional Leadership	\$94	\$117	\$138
				School Leadership	\$657	\$558	\$522

For more information about this campus, please see the Texas Academic Performance Report at	Page
https://rptsvr1.tea.texas.gov/perfreport/tapr/2016/index.html.	1

NOTTINGHAM EL (101920121) SPRING BRANCH ISD

				All	African			American		Pacific	Two or More	Econ
		State	District		American	Hispanic	White	Indian	Asian	Islander	Races	Disadv
STAAR Percent at Leve	l II Satisfa	actory Star	ndard or A	bove (Sum	of All Grade	es Tested)			-	-	-	
All Subjects	2016	75%	72%	82%	59%	84%	89%	-	90%	-	88%	71%
Reading	2016	73%	70%	83%	64%	84%	89%	-	87%	-	86%	73%
Mathematics	2016	76%	73%	80%	57%	80%	87%	-	100%	-	86%	69%
Writing	2016	69%	65%	87%	71%	87%	91%	-	100%	-	*	79%
Science	2016	79%	79%	80%	43%	89%	96%	-	*	-	*	64%
STAAR Percent at Post	secondar	y Readine	ss Standar	d (Sum of A	All Grades T	ested)						
Two or More Subjects	2016	45%	48%	52%	25%	43%	68%	-	64%	-	*	28%
Reading	2016	46%	48%	61%	34%	66%	73%	-	45%	-	*	45%
Mathematics	2016	43%	46%	53%	31%	41%	66%	-	73%	_	*	35%
Writing	2016	41%	44%	59%	36%	42%	69%	_	86%	_	*	29%
Science	2016	47%	52%	31%	*	*	48%	-	*	-	*	*
STAAR Percent at Adva	anced Sta	ndard (Sui	m of All Gr	ades Teste	d)							
All Subjects	2016	18%	21%	32%	10%	27%	43%	_	43%	_	31%	16%
Reading	2016	17%	20%	38%	*	35%	51%	_	40%	_	*	20%
Mathematics	2016	19%	22%	33%	14%	22%	47%	_	47%	_	*	18%
Writing	2016	15%	18%	32%	*	33%	34%	_	*	_	*	*
Science	2016	16%	22%	14%	*	*	19%	_	*	_	*	*
Science	2010	1070	22 /0	1470			1370					
STAAR Percent Met or	Exceeded	l Progress										
All Subjects	2016	62%	61%	68%	58%	69%	71%	-	71%	-	*	59%
Reading	2016	60%	60%	72%	56%	79%	75%	-	*	-	*	64%
Mathematics	2016	63%	62%	64%	*	59%	67%	-	71%	-	*	54%
STAAR Percent Exceed	led Progre	ess										
All Subjects	2016	17%	18%	27%	20%	35%	25%	-	32%	_	*	26%
Reading	2016	16%	17%	29%	26%	35%	28%	-	*	_	*	27%
Mathematics	2016	17%	19%	26%	*	35%	22%	-	36%	-	*	24%
Progress of Prior-Year	Non-Prof	icient Stud	lents: Perc	ent of Non-	-Proficient S	Students Pa	ssina STA	AR (Sum of G	Frades 4-8)		
Reading	2016	35%	28%	43%	*	71%	*	-	*	_	_	50%
. todag	_0.0	3370	_0,0	,		7.70						2070
Students Success Initia Grade 5	ative											
Students Meeting Leve	el II Standa	ard on First	STAAR Ad	ministration								
Reading	2016	73%	68%	86%	69%	94%	93%	_	*	_	*	79%
Mathematics	2016	77%	72%	86%	54%	94%	93%	-	100%	-	*	71%
Students Requiring Ac	celerated	Instruction										
Reading	2016	27%	32%	14%	*	*	*	_	*	_	*	21%
Mathematics	2016	23%	28%	14%	46%	*	*	-	*	-	*	29%
STAAR Cumulative M	at Standar	rd										
Reading	2016	u 80%	74%	87%	77%	94%	93%	_	*	_	*	83%
•					62%			-	1000/	-	*	
Mathematics	2016	85%	82%	89%	62%	94%	96%	-	100%	-	т	79%
STAAR Non-Proficien	t Students	Promoted I	by Grade Pl		ommittee							
Reading	2015	95%	99%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	*	-	*	100%

For more information about this campus, please see the Texas Academic Performance Report at	Page
https://rptsvr1.tea.texas.gov/perfreport/tapr/2016/index.html.	2

^{&#}x27;?' Indicates that the data for this item were statistically improbable, or were reported outside a reasonable range.

 $[\]ensuremath{^{\mbox{\tiny LM}}}$ Indicates results are masked due to small numbers to protect student confidentiality.

^{&#}x27;-' Indicates zero observations reported for this group.
'n/a' Indicates data reporting is not applicable for this group.